

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was not written for publication and is not binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 27

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES



Ex parte H. CRAIG DEES, TIMOTHY SCOTT,
JOHN T. SMOLIK and ERIC A. WACHTER

Appeal No. 2003-1432
Application No. 09/382,622

ERRATUM

Before ELLIS, SCHEINER and MILLS, Administrative Patent Judges.

ELLIS, Administrative Patent Judge.

ERRATUM

This erratum to the final decision mailed September 30, 2004 (Paper No. 26) is necessary to amend the grouping of the claims set forth in said decision. The erratum does not substantively alter said decision. Attention is directed to the following changes:

1. Page 2, lines 15-17, are hereby amended to read: "Group II consists of claims 4, 15, 18-22 and 55 (see Rejection III). Finally, we find that Group III consists of

Appeal No. 2003-1432
Application No. 09/382,622

claims 10, 56, 57 and 60-63; and Group IV consists of claims 51, 52 and 64-67”

[emphases added].

2. Page 14, lines 11-12, are amended to read: “As discussed above, claims 15, 18-20 and 55 fall together with claim 4” [emphasis added].

3. Page 16, lines 11-12, are amended to read: “As discussed above, claims 52, 56, 57 and 60-67 fall with claims 10 and 51” [emphasis added].



JOAN ELLIS
Administrative Patent Judge



TONI R. SCHEINER
Administrative Patent Judge



DEMETRA J. MILLS
Administrative Patent Judge

)
)
)
)
)
) BOARD OF PATENT
) APPEALS
) AND
) INTERFERENCES
)
)
)
)
)

Appeal No. 2003-1432
Application No. 09/382,622

Edward D. Manzo
COOK, ALEX, McFARRON, MANZO,
CUMMINGS & MEHLER, LTD.
200 West Adams Street, Suite 2850
Chicago, IL 60606